Submitted to Phys. Rev. Lett. Apr. 15, 1993

FERMI-EDGE SINGULARITY WITH BACKSCATTERING IN THE LUTTINGER-LIQUID MODEL

N. V. Prokof'ev †

Physics Department, University of British Columbia, 6224 agricultural RD., Vancouver, BC, Canada V6T 1Z1

arXiv:cond-mat/9306040v1 17 Jun 1993

We study the response of the interacting electron gas in one dimension on the local external potential. In the low frequency limit the power-law singularities are essentially modifyed by backscattering effects which, in the case of zero forward scattering, result in the universal critical exponent depending only on the Luttinger-liquid interactions. The results obtained may be used to describe singular responses of the 1D boson chains.

† - on leave from: Kurchatov Insitute, Kurchatov Square, Moscow 123182, Russia

PACS numbers: 71.45.Gm, 72.15.Nj, 78.70.Dm, 79.6o.Cn

Typeset Using *REVTEX*

In recent years there has been large interest in non-Fermi-liquid properties of interacting electron systems in one dimension (1D). The goal is that the fermion properties in 1D can be described precisely even in the presence of electron-electron interactions [1–3], and one can think about possible generalizations of the Luttinger-liquid (LL) state to higher dimensions [4]. Also, recently it has become possible to fabricate really 1D electron systems in GaAs inversion layers [5]. The study of the low frequency x-ray response provids information both about the spectral density of the electron system near the Fermi surface and its reaction on time dependent external perturbation.

The interaction between the electrons and a deep core level has strong influence on the photoemission and soft-x-ray absorption and emission spectra, $I(\omega)$, [6–8]. It was found that the threshold singularity has the form $I(\omega) \sim A(\omega)\, \omega^{\alpha}$, where $A(\omega)$ is the electron spectral density near the Fermi surface. The anomalous exponent, $\alpha = \alpha_{ort} + \alpha_{ex}$, has two contributions originating from the Fermi-liquid "orthogonality catastrophe" and emitted (absorbed) electron rescattering on a core (the so called orthogonality and excitonic correlations). In the case of a free electron gas both α_{ort} and α_{ex} depend on the strength of the core potential.

In the recent papers [9,10] the x-ray response of the LL was solved for the model forward-scattering potential. In the boson representation [3] the Luttinger-liquid Hamiltonian, H_L, and the forward-scattering interaction have the form ($\hbar = 1$)

$$H_L = \sum_q \omega_q \, b_q^+ \, b_q \; + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\pi}{L}(v_N N^2 + v_J J^2) \; , \tag{1}$$

$$H_{int} = V e^{\varphi} \sum_q \sqrt{\frac{|q|}{2\pi L}} (b_q + b_q^+) \; , \tag{2}$$

where $\omega_q \approx v_S|q|$ in the small momentum limit, V is the forward scattering potential, $L \to \infty$ is the length of the 1D chain, N and J are integers describing the number of right- and left-moving electrons with respect to a ground state, $N = \sum_p N_p \; - N_0$, $J = \sum_p pN_p$ (right- and left-moving electrons are labeled by $p = \pm 1$), and the velocities associated with the charge and current excitations depend on the sound velocity, v_S, and the electron-electron interaction, $v_N = v_S e^{-2\varphi}$, $v_J = v_S e^{2\varphi}$. The free electron gas corresponds to $\varphi = 0$. In

the case of repulsive interaction between the electrons the coupling parameter is negative, $\varphi < 0$. The electron creation operator can be expressed in the boson basis as [3]

$$\Psi_p^+ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\Lambda}} \mathbf{U}_p \exp\left\{ \sum_q \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{L|q|}} C_{pq} (b_q - b_q^+) \right\} , \tag{3}$$

$$C_{pq} = \theta(pq)\cosh\varphi - \theta(-pq)\sinh\varphi \equiv \begin{cases} \cosh\varphi, & pq > 0 \\ \sinh\varphi, & pq < 0 \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

where $\mathbf{U}_p$ is the unitary operator raising N_p and J_p by one, Λ^{-1} is the normalization factor (or the large momentum cutoff).

When excluded backscattering the authors of [9,10] thus omitted all possible renormalizations of the scattering amplitude and obtained an exactly solvable model with the result

$$\alpha_{ort} = \frac{1}{2} g^2 e^{2\varphi}; \quad \alpha_{ex} = g \; ; \tag{5}$$

depending explicitely on the dimensionless potential strength, $g = V/\pi v_S$. However, it was shown in [11] that electrons with repulsive interactions in LL are completely reflected at zero temperature even by the weakest impurity potential if the backscattering term is included into consideration. Using the representation (3) this can be shown by direct calculation of the average $\langle\, \Psi_{-p}^+ \Psi_p \,\rangle$ which scales as $L^{(1-e^{2\varphi})}$ at zero temperature. Below the characteristic energy scale, ω_B, estimated as $(\omega_B/\epsilon_F)^{(1-e^{2\varphi})} \sim g_B$ (where g_B is the bare value of the $2k_F$ scattering amplitude) the system starts to be decoupled into two semi-infinite lines. Thus, in a real system the result (5) is not valid in the low frequency limit where the renormalized backscattering from the core potential is dominating. Instead, in this region one can expect that the exponent α has some universal form and is defined by the Luttinger-liquid parameters alone. In this paper we calculate the power-law anomaly in the x-ray spectra in the region where the core potential results in a perfect reflection of excitations. It turns out that the universal answer exist only for the backscattering model. In the general case all scattering processes contribute to α, and the result can not be presented as a sum of forward and backward exponents.

Our approach is based on the use of canonical transformation relating eigenfunctions of the system with and without the external perturbation. Within the golden rule approach the cross section for the x-ray process with the energy ω as measured from the thershold is proportional to

$$I(\omega) \sim 2\pi \sum_{if} \rho_i^{eq} |M_{if}|^2 \delta(E_i + \omega - E_f) \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt e^{i\omega t} \langle\, M^+(t) M(0) \,\rangle \;, \tag{6}$$

where ρ_i^{eq} is the equilibrium density matrix of the LL, E_i and E_f are the energies of the initial and final states, and $\langle\, \cdots \,\rangle$ stands for the average over the LL equilibrium. The electron transfer matrix element has the form

$$M = \Psi^+ e^S \;. \tag{7}$$

The unitary transformation, e^S, relating the eigenstates of different Hamiltonians H_L and $H_L + H_{int}$ has the advantage of calculating all matrix elements in the same basis.

When applyed to the forward scattering interaction, Eq.(2), the canonical transformation is simply the well known shift of the boson modes

$$e^{S_1} = \exp\left\{ \frac{1}{2} g e^{\varphi} \sum_q \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{L|q|}} (b_q - b_q^+) \right\} \;. \tag{8}$$

Now the solution of the x-ray problem is a trivial one because Ψ^+ and e^{S_1} commute and the exponents are linear functions of the boson operators. We simply sum the exponents of Ψ^+ and e^{S_1} thus absorbing the effect of the one-boson final-state interactions into the new definition of C_q

$$C_q = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} g e^{\varphi} + \cosh\varphi, & q > 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} g e^{\varphi} - \sinh\varphi, & q < 0 \end{cases} \tag{9}$$

Formally, we get the problem without the external potential and

$$I(t) = \langle\, M^+(t) M(0) \,\rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi\Lambda} \frac{-i^{\beta_F} (\pi T/\Lambda)^{\beta_F}}{\sinh^{\beta_F}(\pi T t)} \;, \tag{10}$$

$$\beta_F = C_{q>0}^2 + C_{q<0}^2 = \cosh 2\varphi + 1/2 g^2 e^{2\varphi} + g \;. \tag{11}$$

Extracting from this expression the contribution due to singular spectral density in the LL, $\alpha = \beta_F - \cosh 2\varphi$, we obtain the result (5).

Suppose now that the core potential has only the backscattering term which at sufficiently low energies leads to the perfect reflection of excitations. In this energy range and $T = 0$ we can try to replace the original potential by any perturbation which decouples the LL state into two semi-infinite chains. In the boson representation the perfect reflection of excitations at $q \to 0$ can be obtained by introducing a pinning potential at the origin, $U \propto u^2(0)$, where $u(0)$ is the displacement operator

$$H_{int} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{qq'} B_q B_{q'} (b_q + b_q^+)(b_{q'} + b_{q'}^+) \; , \tag{12}$$

Here $B_q = (2L\omega_q \epsilon)^{-1/2}$, and $\epsilon^{-1/2} \propto v_s$ is an arbitrary parameter.

Below we consider the zero temperature case which is sufficient for determining the critical exponent of the Fermi-edge singularity. The ground state of the interacting Hamiltonian can be writtten in the form [12]

$$\Psi_G = e^{S_2} \Psi_G^{(0)} \equiv \frac{1}{R} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2L} \sum_{qq'} \frac{A_{qq'}}{\omega_q + \omega_{q'}} b_q^+ b_{q'}^+ \right\} \Psi_G^{(0)} \; , \tag{13}$$

where R is the normalization factor. This expression is valid with macroscopic accuracy and describes the expansion of the interacting ground state in terms of the unperturbed wave functions. The coefficients $A_{qq'}/(\omega_q + \omega_{q'})$ are an exact amplitudes of finding the state with two bosons q and q' in Ψ_G. Substituting Eq.(13) into the Schrodinger equation defined by the sum of Hamiltonians (1) and (12) we find the following equation for $A_{qq'}$

$$A_{qq'} = B_q B_{q'} - \sum_p \frac{B_q B_p A_{pq'}}{\omega_p + \omega_{q'}} - \sum_{p'} \frac{A_{qp'} B_{p'} B_{q'}}{\omega_q + \omega_{p'}} + \sum_{pp'} \frac{A_{qp'} B_{p'} B_p A_{pq'}}{(\omega_q + \omega_{p'})(\omega_p + \omega_{q'})} \; . \tag{14}$$

The corresponding terms in Eq.(14) tell us that the state with two bosons can be obtained either by direct creation of one boson pair in $\Psi_G^{(0)}$, or by rescattering of the existing pair, or by absorption of one pair in the two pair state. Obviously, the solution has a separable form

$$A_{qq'} = (B_q \nu_q)(B_{q'} \nu_{q'}) \; ; \quad \nu_q = 1 - \nu_q \sum_p \frac{B_p^2 \nu_p}{\omega_p + \omega_q} \; . \tag{15}$$

We can transform this equation into the linear one substituting ν_p in the r.h.s. by Eq.(15) itself and regrouping the terms. The result is

$$\nu_q = 1 - 2\nu_q \sum_p \frac{B_p^2 \omega_p}{\omega_p^2 - \omega_q^2} + \sum_p \frac{B_p^2 \nu_p}{\omega_p - \omega_q} \ . \tag{16}$$

This equation is well known in the theory of singular integral equations. However, we can easily find the behavior of ν_q at small q simply noting that the sum in Eq.(15) is divergent at small ω_p and $\nu_{q\to 0} \to 0$. With this property of the solution we substitute the low-energy anzats $\nu_q = (c\omega_q)^{1/2}$ into Eq.(15) and find

$$c = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi L \epsilon} \sum_p \frac{1}{\omega_p^{1/2}(\omega_p + \omega_q)} \right)^{-1/2} = \sqrt{2\epsilon v_S} \ . \tag{17}$$

Thus, in the low-frequency range the amplitudes acquire constant values $A_{qq'} \approx v_S$. Then, we can write the canonical transformation in the form

$$e^{S_2} = \frac{1}{R} \exp\left\{ -\frac{1}{2L} \sum_{qq'} \frac{v_S}{\omega_q + \omega_{q'}} b_q^+ b_{q'}^+ \right\} \ . \tag{18}$$

The integrals determining the x-ray response are infrared divergent, that is why we can restrict ourselves by the low-frequency solution.

To calculate the normalization factor from $\langle\, (e^{S_2})^+ e^{S_2} \,\rangle = 1$, we make use of the well known trick substituting S_2 by λS_2 and then differentiating the above formula over λ

$$\ln\, R^2 = -\int_0^1 d\lambda \frac{v_S}{2L} \sum_{qq'} \frac{1}{\omega_q + \omega_{q'}} \langle\, (e^{S_2})^+ \, e^{\lambda S_2} \, b_q^+ b_{q'}^+ \,\rangle_{\mathbf{c}} \ . \tag{19}$$

Now we have to calculate only the sum of connected diagrams in Eq.(19). The n-th order diagram is generated by the n-th term in the series expansion of $e^{\lambda S_2}$ with the result

$$\ln\, R^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{q_1 \cdots q_{2n}} \frac{(v_S/L)^{2n}}{(\omega_1 + \omega_2)(\omega_2 + \omega_3) \cdots (\omega_{2n-1} + \omega_{2n})(\omega_{2n} + \omega_1)} \ . \tag{20}$$

Extracting the logarithmic singularity we can present the result in the form

$$\ln\, R^2 = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{4^n (n-1)!(n-1)!}{n(2n-1)!} \int_{q_{min}}^{q_{max}} \frac{dq}{q} \equiv \frac{1}{8} \ln\left(\frac{L q_{max}}{2\pi} \right) \ . \tag{21}$$

The dynamic response $D(t) = \langle\, (e^{S_2(t)})^+ e^{S_2} \,\rangle$, or the core Green function, is defined by the same sum of diagrams as in Eq.(20) with additional factors, $\exp\{-i(\omega_1 + \cdots + \omega_{2n})t\} - 1$,

which effectively cut off the low-energy divergency at $1/t$. Thus, in the long-time asymptotics we have

$$D(t) \sim t^{-1/8} \, . \tag{22}$$

We find that the reaction of the 1D boson chain on the local pinning potential with perfect reflection of low-energy excitations is infrared divergent. Note, that the same result comes if the interaction, Eq.(12), is replaced by the perturbation induced by an infinite boson mass ($M \to \infty$) at the origin

$$H_{int} = \left(\frac{1}{M} - \frac{1}{m} \right) \frac{P^2(0)}{2} \equiv - \frac{P^2(0)}{2m} \, , \tag{23}$$

where $P(0)$ is the boson momentum operator. The canonical transformation in this case has exactly the same form, Eq.(18), in the $q \to 0$ limit.

Compare now the result obtained with the well known expression for the normalization exponent in a free electron gas [7]

$$\alpha_{ort} = \sum_{l=0,1} \left(\frac{\delta_l}{\pi} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{8} \, , \tag{24}$$

where $l = 1, 0$ is the index of even and odd phase shifts. In the case of perfect reflection the constraint, $\delta_0 - \delta_1 = \pi/2 + \pi n$, is imposed on the phase shifts. Then, from Eq.(24) we conclude that backscattering is described by two nonzero values $\delta_0 = -\delta_1 = \pm\pi/4$. Indeed, the backscattering potential when expressed in terms of even and odd parity combinations, $V_{l=0,1} = \frac{1}{2}(V(0) \pm V(2k_F)) = \pm\frac{1}{2}V(2k_F)$, generates two phase shifts $\delta_0 = -\delta_1$. This case must be contrasted to the electron scattering by strong δ-functional potential when $\delta_0 = \pm\pi/2$, $\delta_1 = 0$.

Calculate now the x-ray response, Eq.(6), with the transfer matrix given by Eqs.(3) and (18). We note that all <u>*connected*</u> diagrams involving one-boson processes from Ψ^+ and Ψ contain only two one-boson vertecies as the starting and ending lines. Thus, all possible connected diagrams are given by (compare with Eq.(20))

$$\ln\ I(t) = \ln\ D(t) + D_{el}(t) + D_1(t)\ , \tag{25}$$

$$D_{el}(t) = (C_{q>0}^2 + C_{q<0}^2)\ \int \frac{dq}{q}(e^{-i\omega_q t} - 1) \sim -\cosh\ 2\varphi\ \ln\ t\ , \tag{26}$$

$$D_1(t) = -\frac{\pi}{2}(C_{q>0} + C_{q<0})^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(-1)^n \sum_{q_1 \cdots q_n} \frac{(v_S/L)^n \phi_1(t)\phi_2(t) e^{-i(\omega_3 + \cdots + \omega_n)t}}{\sqrt{\omega_1 \omega_2}(\omega_2 + \omega_3)\cdots(\omega_n + \omega_1)}\ . \tag{27}$$

Here in the last expression $\phi_q = e^{-i\omega_q t} - 1$. As expected, the second term describes the single particle Green function for the conduction band and is an intrinsic property of LL. The only contribution in the sum (25) which is infrared divergent as $t \to \infty$ is that for $n = 2$. Summing up all logarithmic contributions we find the universal exponent describing the x-ray singularity in the case of backscattering potential

$$\beta_B = C_{q>0}^2 + C_{q<0}^2 + \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{2}(C_{q>0} + C_{q<0})^2 \equiv \cosh 2\varphi + \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{2}e^{-2\varphi}\ . \tag{28}$$

In the general case both the forward and backscattering processes contribute to the x-ray response. Let the interaction Hamiltonian to be the sum of Eq.(2) and Eq.(12). The ground state of the system can be obtained by switching on adiabatically the two-boson scattering first, Eqs.(13) and (18), and introducing the one-boson interaction afterwards. Due to the fact that the Hamiltonians (12) and (23) are quadratic in the boson field, the one-boson transformation e^{S_1}, Eq.(8), is not affected by the first unitary rotation of boson variables. Thus,

$$e^S = e^{S_1}\ e^{S_2}\ . \tag{29}$$

As before, the one-boson exponent can be combined with the Ψ^+ operator (see Eq.(9)), and formally we get the same kind of problem as in the case of backscattering potential alone. The final result has the form

$$\beta = \cosh 2\varphi + (g^2 e^{2\varphi} + 2g) + (\frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{2}e^{-2\varphi})\ . \tag{30}$$

At first sight the expression is the sum of two independent contributions from the forward and backscattering processes. However, this is not the case. The forward scattering term in Eq.(30) is <u>twice</u> the result (5) obtained when neglecting the $2k_F$ momentum transfer from the potential.

Up to this point we considered the spinless case. Due to the spin-charge separation in 1D, we can include spin excitations into the consideration by introducing another boson field, d_q. For the spin-independent scattering potential this additional boson field has no forward scattering from the core level. Thus the result (30) is modifyed to $\beta = \beta_{spin} + \beta_{charge}$

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{spin} &= \frac{1}{2} + (\frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{4}) \; ; \\ \beta_{charge} &= \frac{1}{2}\cosh 2\varphi + (g^2 e^{2\varphi} + \sqrt{2}g) + (\frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{4}e^{-2\varphi}) \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

($\beta = \cosh^2\varphi + \frac{1}{2}(g^2 e^{2\varphi} + \sqrt{2}g)$ without backscattering [10]). In the framework of the LL model the forward scattering phase shift is proportional to the potential strength $\delta_{FS} = -\pi g$ [13] due to the infinite energy spectrum with the linear dispersion relation. Assuming that the LL model describes only the low-energy physics of the real electron system we have to consider the parameter πg as the phase shift rather than a bare potential. This is important in understanding that g in Eq.(30) can not be arbitrary large.

We assumed in our calculation that the backscattering processes resulted in a perfect reflection of excitations from the potential in the low-energy region as confirmed by scaling arguments and the exact solution of the special case $e^{\varphi} = 1/2$ [11]. This means that our results are valid only below the characteristic frequency ω_B. It is not clear at the moment to what extent the model description of the perfect reflection at $\omega \to 0$ by pinning potential (or by infinite mass) at the origin is adequate to the problem. One can argue that the two-boson interaction is the simplest one of the kind, and the result obtained is independent of the strength of the potential. Two nonzero phase shifts equal to $|\pi/2|$ are in agreement with the result expected for the electron reflection in the absense of forward scattering.

Obviously, these results can be applyed for studing the singular behavior of the one-dimensional boson systems.

REFERENCES

[1] Mattis D.C., and E.H. Lieb, J. Math. Phys., **6**, 304 (1965).

[2] Dzyaloshinskii I.E , and Larkin A.I. , Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **65**, 411 (1974) [Sov. Phys. JETP **38**, 202].

[3] Haldane F.D.M., J. Phys. **C**, **14**, 2585 (1981).

[4] Anderson P.W., Phys. Rev. Lett., **64**, 1839 (1990).

[5] Meirav U., M.A. Kastner, M. Heiblum, and S.J. Wind, Phys. Rev. B **40**, 5871 (1989).

[6] Mahan G.D., *Many-Particle Physics*, (Plenum, New York, 1981).

[7] Anderson P.W., Phys. Rev. Lett., **18**, 1049 (1967).

[8] Nozieres P., and C.T. DeDominicis, Phys. Rev., **178**, 1097 (1969).

[9] Lee D.K.K., and Y. Chen, Phys. Rev. Lett., **69**, 1399 (1992).

[10] Ogawa T., A. Furusaki, and N. Nagaosa, Phys. Rev. Lett., bf 68, 3638 (1992).

[11] Kane C.L., and M.P.A. Fisher, Phys. Rev. Lett., **68**, 1220 (1992); Preprint (1992).

[12] Kagan Yu. and N.V. Prokof'ev, Zh. Eksp. Theor. 68 Fiz. **96**, 2209 (1989) [Sov. Phys. JETP **69**, 1250].

[13] Schotte K.D., and U. Schotte, Phys. Rev. **182**, 479 (1969).